

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 9804 of 2003

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE JAYANT PATEL

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned : NO
Magistrate/Magistrates, Judge/Judges, Tribunal/Tribunals?

PAREKH NILESH ARVINDBHAI

Versus

REGIONAL PASSPORT OFFICER

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 9804 of 2003
MR DIPAK C RAVAL for Petitioner No. 1
Mr.N.J.Shah with MR DN PATEL for Respondent

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE JAYANT PATEL

Date of decision: 17/07/2003

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Rule. Mr.N.J.Shah for Mr.D.N.Patel for
respondents waives service of rule. With the consent of
parties matter is taken up for final hearing today.

2. The contention raised by the petitioner is that the passport was already issued and the same has already expired. However, the birth-date initially in the passport was recorded as 19-12-1975 whereas the correct birth-date is 19-1-1974. The petitioner submits that he has submitted application for new passport with correct birth-date, however, the authorities have declined to consider the same in the absence of any order passed by this court.

3. On behalf of Passport authorities it has been submitted that earlier on the basis of School Leaving Certificate passport has been issued. If this court directs the passport authorities, they will consider the matter.

4. Mr.Raval for the petitioner submits that in similar matters this court has passed orders giving directions to the passport authorities to reconsider the matter and to pass appropriate orders and thereafter to incorporate necessary correction in the new passport.

5. In view of the above, since the passport has already expired and application for new passport after the expiry is pending, the respondent is directed to consider the case of the petitioner for change in birth-date from 19.12.1975 to 19-1-1974 as per the birth certificate at the time when the application for new passport is considered for the purpose of issuing the passport. However, the petitioner shall pay costs of Rs.2,500/to the respondent authorities since the passport authorities can not be saddled with the costs of litigation.

6. It is clarified that this Court has not gone into the legality and validity and genuineness of the above correction(s). Any order passed by the Passport Authority shall not give any additional right to the petitioner, in any other field, on account of the change, including that of service or any other field. Petition is allowed accordingly. Rule is made absolute.

Direct service is permitted.

17.7.2003 (Jayant Patel, J.)